UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

In re ENRON CORPORATION SECURITIES, DERIVATIVE & ERISA LITIGATION	& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	MDL Docket No. 1446
This Document Relates To:	8	Civil Action No. H-01-3624 (Consolidated)
MARK NEWBY, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	§ §	CLASS ACTION
Plaintiffs,	§ §	
vs.	§ §	
ENRON CORP., et al.,	§ §	
Defendants.	§ §	
THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	8888	
Plaintiffs,	§ §	
vs.	§ §	
KENNETH L. LAY, et al.,	§ §	
Defendants.	§ §	

[Caption continued on next page]

ORDER REGARDING THE SCHEDULING OF THE DEPOSITIONS OF MICHAEL ODOM AND MAUREEN RAYMOND

PAMELA M. TITTLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ENRON CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF ENRON CORP.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ANDREW S. FASTOW, MICHAEL J. KOPPER, § BEN GLISAN, JR., RICHARD B. BUY, RICHARD A. CAUSEY, JEFFREY K. SKILLING, KENNETH L. LAY, JEFFREY McMAHON, JAMES V. DERRICK, JR., KRISTINA M. MORDAUNT, KATHY LYNN, ANNE YAEGER-PATEL, ARTHUR ANDERSEN, LLP, AND CARL FASTOW, AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE FASTOW FAMILY FOUNDATION,

Defendants.

[Caption continued on next page]

Civil Action No. H-01-3913

Civil Action No. H-04-0091

ELAINE L. CHAO, SECRETUNITED STATES DEPART	MENT OF LABOR, §	Civil Action No. H-03-2257 (Consolidated with H-01-3913)
	Plaintiff,	}
vs.		
ENRON CORP., et al.		
	Defendants.	
KEVIN LAMPKIN, et al.,		8
		S Civil Action No. H-02-0851
VS.		\$ \$
UBS PAINEWEBBER, INC UBS WARBURG LLC.	. and	§ § §
	Defendants.	§ § § §
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RE SYSTEM OF OHIO, et al.,	TIREMENT	§ Civil Action No. H-02-4788
	Plaintiffs,	§ (Coordinated) § 8
VS.		\$ §
ANDREW S. FASTOW, et a	al.,	§ §
	Defendants.	§ § § § § § §
		y

[Captioned continued on next page]

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Chapter 11 8888 In re No. 01-16034(AJG) ENRON CORP., et al., Jointly Administered Debtors. ENRON CORP., et al. Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

v.

CITIGROUP INC., et al.

Pending before the Court is Unopposed Motion of the Deposition Scheduling Committee to Take the Depositions of Michael Odom and Maureen Raymond During the Extended Deposition Period ("Motion") to allow the parties to take the deposition testimony of Michael Odom and Maureen Raymond during the 50-day period starting on the date two weeks after the close of evidence in United States v. Causey, et al., No. 4:04-cr-00025 (S.D. Tex.), as contemplated by Paragraph 4 to the Amended Order with Respect to Depositions Subject to Stays and Fifth Amendment Assertions, dated August 1, 2005 (the "August 1, 2005 Order") (the "Extended Deposition Period").

Adversary Proceeding

No. 03-09266 (AJG)

The Courts, having considered the extraordinary circumstances presented in the Motion, is of the opinion that it should be, and hereby is:

ORDERED that the Motion is **GRANTED**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the depositions of Mr. Odom and Ms. Raymond will take place during the Extended Deposition Period and shall be noticed pursuant to Paragraph 7 of the August 1, 2005 Order.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 1st day of December, 2005.

MELINDA HARMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SIGNED this 1st day of December, 2005.

ARTHUR GONZALEZ UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

The Courts, having considered the extraordinary circumstances presented in the Motion, is of the opinion that it should be, and hereby is:

ORDERED that the Motion is GRANTED; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the depositions of Mr. Odom and Ms. Raymond will take place during the Extended Deposition Period and shall be noticed pursuant to Paragraph 7 of the August 1, 2005 Order.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 1st day of December, 2005.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SIGNED this 1st day of December, 2005.